(17)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W. P. (S) No. 1404 of 2007

Petitioner	:	Smt. Madhu Pandey, W/o Shri Shailesh Pandey, aged about 36 years, R/o Gali No. 3, Jabdapara, Sarkanda, Bilaspur (C.G.)
	Versus	
Respondents	:1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
	2.	Director of Public Instruction, Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Mr. Kumaresh Tiwari, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 12th day of March, 2007)

1. The petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher in the government school. In the course of her services as Assistant Teacher, the petitioner has obtained B.Ed. Examination Certificate on her own expenses in the month of Oct-Nov'96 (P/3).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the question involved, herein asto whether the teacher, who obtained B.Ed. Examination Certificate on her own expenses, is entitled to two advance increments.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed. /B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the

(20)

circular dated 24.12.98 (Annexure P/7) issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired B. Ed. Examination Certificate on her own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 & 1.3.1999 and further whether she has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge